Dist	rict:		
Rev	iew (Completed By:	Date:
Note prace prace contract reviews accumulate with	e: Filetices etices tracts ewed aratel	s completed and paid in the current program is should be reflected, as applicable to the Dist in the last five years, at least one CREP filed for each conservation technician. The CDC by assess the District's files. The files review	an 5 years and a minimum of two files should be for year. Of the files selected, both structural and agronomic trict workload. If the District has had approved CREP should also be reviewed. Additionally, two files should be should review as many cost share files as necessary to ed for this administrative review must be picked at random to be reviewed and should not be the same files reviewed
		al District Staff Person Responsible for File:	
		ant/Farm Name:	
Con	tract	#:	Instance #:
Is th	ie "G	General Tab" in the Tracking Program comple	ete and accurate?
Par Yes	No	N/A ☐ Is there a W-9 form completed by the a ☐ Does Part I accurately reflect informati ☐ Is applicant information fully complete Missing Data: ☐ Is the box related to "funding from other	on provided on the W-9? d? If no, describe the missing data.
		☐ Is the application signed and dated by t	
Par Yes		N/A Is there a computer generated copy of t Is the contract portion of the application Missing Data:	he completed Part II? In fully completed? If no, describe the missing data.
		Has the District "Amount Approved" b Approved Amount: \$ Date of Board Approval in Minutes:	
		☐ Has Statement of Technical Need been	
		☐ Has a director signed and dated the tecl	nnical authorization section?
		☐ Has the participant signed and dated the	e Part II?
		☐ Is the required completion date noted a	ppropriately on the form?
П		☐ Is this a two-program year completion	date practice?

Yes	No	N/A
		☐ Is there a copy of an approval letter/memo that was sent to the participant and included the date of approval, approval amount, completion deadline, and information regarding the next steps?
		☐ If this practice was carried over, was the carryover section fully completed for each carryover? Note, a practice may be carried over multiple times and should always be documented. (If N/A skip to Part III) If no, describe the missing data:
		Was the completion deadline for the carryover entered in the carryover section for each carryover? Carryover Completion Date(s):
		☐ Is approval of each carryover recorded in the minutes?
		☐ Is there a copy of a carryover approval letter for each carryover that was sent to the participant that provides appropriate information and deadlines?
<u>Par</u>	t III	
Yes	No	N/A
Ш	Ш	☐ Is there a computer generated copy of the completed Part III?
		☐ Is the contract portion of the Part III fully completed? If no, describe the missing data. Missing Data:
		☐ Is the District "Payment Amount" equal to or less than the District "Amount Approved" in Part I?
		☐ Was there a Board approved increase in "Payment Amount"? Original Approved Amount: \$ Increased Approved Amount: \$ Reason for Increase: Date of Board Approval in Minutes:
		Did the participant certify that the practice is complete?
		Did District staff certify on the Part III that the practice is complete? Name and title of District staff or engineer:
		☐ If a tax credit was provided, is the tax credit appropriate (i.e. 25% or 50%), based on whether the producer has an RMP, of the participant's out of pocket expenses based? (If N/A, skip to Conservation Plans)
		☐ Is there a copy of the tax credit certificate(s)?
Con	serv	ation Plans (Skip if N/A)
Yes	No	N/A
		☐ Is a Conservation Plan required?
		☐ Is approval of the Conservation Plan recorded in the minutes?
		☐ Are the necessary BMPs included in the Conservation Plan?
		☐ Are all of the required signatures signed by the appropriate people?

Last Updated: 04/2024; Page 2 of 4

Resource Reviews (Skip if N/A)					
Yes	No	N/A			
Ш	Ш	Let Is there documentation of a resource review having been completed? A printout of the resource concerns page from the tracking program is required.			
Ш	Ш	☐ If resources concerns were identified, were they addressed by communications from partner agencies (ex: emails from DCR-DNH, DWR, DHR)?			
<u>Oth</u>	er It	<u>ems</u>			
Yes	No	N/A			
	Ш	Have conservation planning notes been initiated and maintained?			
		☐ Is there a Location Map with road names or route numbers and/or driving directions?			
		☐ Is there a clear Conservation Plan Map that includes the installed BMPs, field labels, etc.?			
		☐ Are copies of the bills/invoices submitted by the participant for payment and/or tax credit included?			
		☐ Is payment documentation, like a calculation spreadsheet, copy of issued checks, etc., included? It no, describe the missing data:			
		☐ If the participant has received a loan through the DEQ Ag BMP Loan program, is there an Assignment of Payment Form (to VRA) in the file and does it include all the proper signatures?			
		☐ If a Nutrient Management Plan was required, is there a copy of the plan?			
		☐ If a Grazing Management Plan was required (i.e. SL-6N/W, SL-7, and SL-10), is there a copy of the plan?			
For	Struc	ctural Practices:			
		☐ Are design and related job sheets included with all of the required signatures?			
		☐ Are "As Built" designs included with all required signatures?			
		Was the bid process followed and a <i>DCR Bid Solicitation Sheet</i> filled out for the program years applicable bid threshold requirements? (Example: PY23 and newer contracts, a bid is required for all component costs ≥\$50,000. Prior to PY23, all contracts estimated total costs ≥\$30,000 should have a bid sheet.			
		☐ For the WP-4 suite of practices, was the Risk Assessment for Water Quality Impairment from			
		Heavy Use Areas/Animal Concentrated Areas utilized in the planning process for this practice?			
		☐ For WP-4 dry stack facilities, was the <i>Dry Manure Storage Structure Agreement</i> signed?			
		☐ For WP-4 suite of practices, was there an <i>Agricultural Waste Management System Plan</i> prepared and signed?			

Last Updated: 04/2024; Page 3 of 4

Notes about this Administrative Review:

Last Updated: 04/2024; Page 4 of 4